



Board of County Commissioners • Escambia County, Florida

Paul R. Nobles/Purchasing Manager  
Office of Purchasing

March 27 2019

To: All Known Prospective Submitters

**Addendum Number 6:**

Re: Bayou Chico Contaminated Sediment Remediation Project  
Specification Number: PD 18-19.021

All:

We recently sent you a Request for Letters of Interest on the above-mentioned specification.

This Addendum Number 6 provides for:

**Clarifications**

**DATA COLLECTION**

- What historical data — topographic, bathymetric, utility, and sovereign submerged lands survey, private submerged land rights, submerged resources survey, SHPO coordination, archaeological surveys, geotechnical, sediment chemistry, availability of waterside dredged material management areas, biological assessment reports, hydrologic modeling raw data and reports, volume and location of point contamination sources — is available for review and incorporation into the upcoming remediation project?

Response - **Escambia County has begun the process of compiling historic records relating to the information requested. Firm will be tasked to compile and evaluate all relevant supporting documentation as part of the scope of work for design services. Files provided are associated with bathymetric surveys, utilities, archeological studies, previous dredge projects, TMDLs, scientific studies and references. Information regarding private submerged lands rights can be obtained through FDEP online portal Map Direct at <https://ca.dep.state.fl.us/mapdirect/>.**

- Please provide available documentation; if documentation is not currently available for distribution, please provide a list of documentation the County will provide to the selected team.

**FIELD INVESTIGATION**

- Given the three tributaries (Jones Creek, Jackson Creek, Maggie's Ditch) that feed into the Bayou Chico system, what is the defined project boundary (comprising approximately 125 acres) designated for testing and sediment removal, as required?

Response - The 125 acres referenced was developed by the Northwest Florida Water Management District in an earlier iteration of the project which did not account for the navigation channel dredged by the US Army Corps of Engineers in 2008. The approximate study area (235 acres) is depicted in the map exhibit provided in the solicitation package. As described in the map exhibit, the extent of the remediation project is dependent on the project findings (sediment analysis results) associated with this solicitation.

- Please provide in electronic (CAD or SHP) format, if available.

Response - **Shapefile attached.**

**GRANT AGREEMENT**

The Grant Work Plan contained in Attachment A contains the following schedule and budget detail:

Task/Deliverable No.	Task/Deliverable Title	Task Start Date	Task End Date	Deliverable Due Date/Frequency	Budget
1a	Bathymetric Survey	Upon Execution	3/31/2018	3/31/2018	70,000
1b	Sediment Analysis	4/1/2018	9/30/2018	9/30/2018	65,000
1c	100% Plans and Specifications, Develop Bid Documents, Bid Assistance	6/1/2017	9/30/2019	9/30/2019	175,510
2	Permitting	10/1/2018	9/30/2019	9/30/2019	25,000
Total Project Budget					355,510

- Have the grant milestones been updated/coordinated with the RESTORE Council to reflect outdated deliverable due dates? If so, please provide an updated schedule? If not, is the County at risk of losing the grant funding?

Response - **Escambia County, DEP, and RESTORE Council are aware of the current grant schedule. The County will work with DEP and RESTORE Council to revise the schedule once a schedule is provided by the selected firm. The County is not at risk of losing grant funding so long as adequate progress is being made to achieve grant deliverables.**

- Are following scope items anticipated to fall under Task 2 – Permitting (\$25K budget)?

Response - **Yes. The County is aware of the budget limitations and is seeking funding to supplement shortfalls associated with project design and permitting. The firm should present a complete scope of work the firm determines will be necessary to effectively and efficiently design, permit, and implement the project.**

- SHPO review and archaeological survey report
- NEPA review (categorical exclusion on file)
- USACE/FDEP permitting

- The public involvement plan, vegetation surveys, and habitat assessments are called out in the scope, but not in the Task 1 specific items on page 68 of the pdf. Which subtask do they fall under?

Response - While not specifically identified, the firm should present a complete scope of work the firm determines will be necessary to effectively and efficiently design, permit, and implement the project.

## STANDARD PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

- What is the estimated construction contract price for this project?

Response - An engineer's estimate for construction has not been developed. Construction costs are dependent on the results of the sediment analysis, remediation methodology, and possible disposal options the firm will evaluate and design under this contract. The selected firm will be responsible for developing an engineer's estimate as part of engineer/design plan submittal.

- In particular, how will the value of the construction contract influence Section 9.8, paragraph 9(c) of contract documents? Requiring professional liability coverage in the amount of 25% of the estimated contract price may result in an unusually high professional liability insurance burden.

Response - (c) Professional Liability coverage with \$1,000,000 minimum limit, except where the estimated construction contract price for the project described in the Agreement is greater than \$5 Million dollars, the minimum limit of professional liability coverage shall be equal to 25% of the estimated construction contract price for the project. Said coverage shall be continuously maintained and in effect for a period of not less than **five (5) years** from the effective date of this Agreement. The policy limit of liability shall not include legal fees and other defense costs. If a claims made form of coverage is provided, the retroactive date of coverage shall be no later than the effective date of this Agreement and shall not be advanced.

This project has not been established as costing in excess of \$5,000,000.00 at this time. If it is, an adjustment will be made in the insurance.

## SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

Do the following requirements, listed on page 13 of the RLI, apply to members of the prime firm or members of the prime and sub firms?

- *Proposers shall list all currently active contracts or task orders with Escambia*
  - *County, Florida and the following relative information*
  - *Contract or Task Order name*
  - *Current status*
  - *Costs*
    - *Original cost, to include any change orders*
    - *Remaining balance*
- *Proposers shall list any work which their organization failed to complete in the last five (5) years and describe the when, where, how and why of such failure.*
- *Proposers shall list any officer or partner of their team who in the last five (5) years failed to complete a contract handled in his/her name and to discuss the reasons thereof.*

- *Proposers shall list any lawsuits in which their team (firms and individuals) is involved relative to services performed or failed to perform over the last five (5) years*

Response – This is based on the prime consultant, not the sub-consultants in that the prime will be responsible for the work of their sub-consultants.

- Is the consultant permitted to move funding between budgeted tasks provided the overall budget is satisfied?

Response – This would require approval of the user department, the allowances of the grant and at a minimum a change order acknowledging the changes.

**All documents referenced herein are available at <http://submittals.myescambia.com/system.asp>.**

This Addendum Number 6 is furnished to all known prospective Submitters. Please sign and return one copy of this Addendum, with original signature, with your bid as an acknowledgement of you having received same. You may photo copy for your record.

Sincerely,

Paul R. Nobles  
Purchasing Manager



Acknowledgement of Receipt of Addendum 6:

SIGNED: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPANY: \_\_\_\_\_